Kucinich

Kuhl (NY)

Langevin

Larsen (WA)

Larson (CT)

LaTourette

Lewis (CA)

Lewis (GA)

Lewis (KY)

Lantos

Latham

Leach

Levin

Linder

Lowey

Lucas

E. Lynch

Mack

Maloney

Manzullo

Marchant

Markey

Marshall

Matheson

McCotter

McCrery

McDermott

McGovern

McHenry

McHugh

McIntyre

McKeon

McKinney

McMorris

McNulty

Meehan

Meek (FL)

Melancon

Michaud

Millender-

Miller (FL)

Miller (MI)

Miller (NC)

Mollohan

Moore (KS)

Moore (WI)

Moran (KS)

Moran (VA)

Murphy

Murtha

Myrick

Nadler

Ney

Musgrave

Napolitano

Neal (MA)

Northun

Norwood

Oberstar

Nunes

Obev

Olver

Ortiz

Otter

Owens

Oxlev

Pastor

Paul

Pearce

Pelosi

Petri

Pitts

Platts

Pombo

Porter

Pomeroy

Portman

Price (GA)

Price (NC)

Radanovich

Putnam

Poe

Pickering

Osborne

Neugebauer

Miller, Gary

McDonald

Mica.

Meeks (NY)

McCaul (TX)

Matsui

Lipinski

LoBiondo

Lofgren, Zoe

Lee

NOT VOTING-46

Alexander Feeney Pallone Pascrell Baird Flake Franks (AZ) Becerra Blackburn Gutierrez Pence Peterson (MN) Boswell Hefley Hinojosa Peterson (PA) Boustany Brown, Corrine Hunter Prvce (OH) Jones (OH) Brown-Waite, Rangel Ginny Kelly Sánchez, Linda Kilpatrick (MI) Capuano т Sessions Knollenberg Chabot Simpson Culberson LaHood Davis (AL) McCarthy Van Hollen Davis (FL) Menendez Walsh Miller, George Waxman Emerson Evans Nussle Wexler

□ 1905

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the resolution, as amended, was agreed

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 67, had I been present, I would have

EXTENSION OF NAZI WAR CRIMES AND JAPANESE IMPERIAL GOV-ERNMENT RECORDS INTER-AGENCY WORKING GROUP

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BOOZMAN). The pending business is the question of suspending the rules and passing the Senate bill, S. 384.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. SHAYS) that the House suspend the rules and pass the Senate bill, S. 384, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 391, nays 0, not voting 43, as follows:

[Roll No. 68] YEAS-391

Abercrombie Ackerman Aderholt Akin Allen Andrews Baca Bachus Baker Baldwin Barrett (SC) Barrow Bartlett (MD) Barton (TX) Bass Bean Beauprez Berkley Berman Berry Biggert Bilirakis Bishop (GA) Bishop (NY)

Bishop (UT)

Blumenauer

Coble

Blunt

Boehlert

Boehner

Bonilla

Bonner

Bono

Boozman Cole (OK) Boren Conaway Boucher Convers Boyd Cooper Bradley (NH) Costa Costello Brady (PA) Brady (TX) Cox Brown (OH) Cramer Brown (SC) Crenshaw Burgess Burton (IN) Crowley Cubin Butterfield Cuellar Calvert Cummings Camp Cunningham Davis (CA) Cannon Davis (IL) Cantor Capito Davis (KY) Capps Davis (TN) Cardin Davis, Jo Ann Davis. Tom Cardoza Carnahan Deal (GA) Carson DeFazio Carter DeGette Case Delahunt Castle DeLauro Chabot DeLay Chandler Dent Diaz-Balart, L. Diaz-Balart, M. Chocola Clay Cleaver Dicks Clyburn Dingell

Doggett

Doolittle Doyle Drake Dreier Duncan Edwards Ehlers Emanuel Engel English (PA) Eshoo Etheridge Everett Fattah Ferguson Filner Fitzpatrick (PA) Foley Forbes Ford Fortenberry Fossella. Foxx Frank (MA) Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Gallegly Garrett (NJ) Gerlach Gibbons Gilchrest Gillmor Gingrey Gohmert Gonzalez Goode Goodlatte Gordon Granger Graves Green (WI) Green, Al Green, Gene Grijalya. Gutknecht Hall Harman Harris Hart Hastings (FL) Hastings (WA) Haves Hayworth Hensarling Herger Herseth Higgins Hinchey Hobson Hoekstra Holden Holt Honda Hooley Hostettler Hoyer Hulshof Hyde Inglis (SC) Inslee Israel Issa Istook Jackson (IL) Jackson-Lee

(TX) Jefferson Jenkins Jindal Johnson (CT) Johnson (IL) Johnson, E. B. Johnson Sam Jones (NC) Kanjorski Kaptur Keller Kennedy (MN) Kennedy (RI) Kildee Kind King (IA) King (NY) Kingston Kirk Kline

Kolbe

Rahall Ramstad Regula Rehberg Reichert Renzi Reyes Reynolds Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Ross Rothman Rovbal-Allard Royce Rush Ryan (OH) Ryan (WI) Lungren, Daniel Ryun (KS) Sabo Salazar Sanchez, Loretta Sanders Saxton Schakowsky

Schiff Schwartz (PA) Schwarz (MI) Scott (GA) Scott (VA) McCollum (MN) Sensenbrenner Serrano Sessions Shadegg Shaw Shavs Sherman Sherwood Shimkus Shuster Simmons Skelton Slaughter Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Smith (WA)

Snyder Sodrel Solis Souder Spratt Stark Stearns Strickland Stupak Sullivan Sweenev Tancredo Tanner Tauscher Taylor (MS) Taylor (NC) Terry Thomas Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thornberry Tiahrt Tiberi Tierney Towns Turner Udall (CO) Udall (NM) Upton Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Walden (OR) Wamp Wasserman

Schultz

Waters

Watson

Waxman

Weldon (FL)

Weldon (PA)

Westmoreland

Wilson (NM)

Wilson (SC)

Weiner

Weller

Whitfield

Wicker

Watt

Young (AK) Wu Woolsev Wynn Young (FL) NOT VOTING-Alexander Evans Nussle Pallone Baird Feeney Becerra Flake Pascrell Blackburn Gutierrez Pavne Boswell Hefley Pence Boustany Hinojosa Peterson (MN) Brown, Corrine Hunter Peterson (PA) Pryce (OH) Brown-Waite, Jones (OH) Kelly Kilpatrick (MI) Ginny Rangel Ruppersberger Buver Capuano Knollenberg Sánchez, Linda Culberson LaHood McCarthy Simpson Davis (AL) Davis (FL) Menendez Walsh Emerson Miller, George Wexler □ 1922

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the Senate bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. KILPATRICK of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, personal business in my district prevents me from being present for legislative business scheduled for today, Monday, March 14, 2005. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on H.R. 135, authorizing the establishment of a House Democracy Assistance Commission (rollcall No. 66); "yea" on H. Res. 101, a resolution urging the European Union to Add Hezbollah to the List of Terrorist Organizations (rollcall No. 67); and "yea" on S. 384, to extend the Nazi and Japanese War Crimes Working Group (rollcall No. 68).

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Speaker, I was regrettably absent from the Chamber today during rollcall votes 66, 67, and 68. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay" on rollcall 66, "yea" on rollcall 67, and "yea" on rollcall 68.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. PASCRELL. Mr. Speaker, I rise to offer a personal explanation. Earlier today, I was unavoidably detained on rollcall votes 66, 67, and 68 due to prior obligation. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall vote 66 (H. Res. 135), "yea" on rollcall vote 67 (H. Res. 101), and "yea" on rollcall vote 68 (S. 384).

REAFFIRMATION OF AMERICAN INDEPENDENCE RESOLUTION

(Ms. FOXX asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her re-

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to ask my colleagues to join me in cosponsoring House Resolution 97, the Reaffirmation of American Independence Resolution.

We have a serious problem with our country's judicial systemic. Oftentimes judges will cite foreign laws when interpreting the United States Constitution and our other laws. This happened

earlier this month when the Supreme Court cited international rulings and opinions in its decision to abolish the death penalty for juveniles.

Foreign laws and the beliefs of foreign governments should have no bearing whatsoever when it comes to interpreting American laws. Judges who take these outside opinions into account are legislating from the bench and abandoning their duty to interpret the U.S. Constitution.

It is time we hold our judges accountable for their actions. The Reaffirmation of American Independence Resolution states that judicial decisions should not be based on any foreign laws, court decisions or pronouncements of foreign governments. I strongly urge my colleagues to support this very important resolution.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BOOZMAN). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 4, 2005, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

THE UGLY FACE OF CAFTA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. Brown) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, this is the face of the Central American Free Trade Agreement.

This photo was taken by Reuters news service last week in Guatemala as police forces used tear gas and water cannons to beat back demonstrators who had united to speak out against the Central American Free Trade Agreement. Sadly, despite days of protests in organized worker strikes against CAFTA, the Guatemalan Congress ratified that trade agreement late last week.

It appears that politicians encouraged by multinational corporations fail to understand what their workers realize all too clearly: CAFTA is an empty promise that will keep workers in poverty while reaping huge profits for the corporate executives.

Throughout the developing world, Mr. Speaker, workers simply, unlike in this country in most cases, workers simply do not share in the wealth they create. Nike workers in Vietnam cannot afford the shoes they make. Disney workers in Costa Rica cannot afford the toys for their children. Motorola workers in Malaysia are unable to purchase the cell phone.

The North American Free Trade Agreement promised to create a thriving middle class in Mexico, promising higher wages, promising to lift people out of poverty. Eleven years later there is no newly created middle class realizing its dreams. Instead there is a fallen minimum wage and the ongoing nightmare of abject poverty, despite backbreaking work, despite deplorable working conditions.

Now President Bush wants to expand this failed trade policy with CAFTA, dysfunction cousin of NAFTA, involving five Central American countries: Costa Rica, Nicaragua, El Salvador, Honduras, and Guatemala.

CAFTA nations are not only among the world's poorest countries; they are among the smallest economies. With a \$62 billion combined economic output, about that of Columbus, Ohio, these nations can hardly serve as a growth engine for the \$10 trillion U.S. economy.

CAFTA is more about access to cheap labor and exporting American jobs than it is exporting U.S. goods and produce.

Trade pacts like NAFTA and CAFTA enable countries to exploit cheap labor in other countries and then import their products back into the United States under favorable terms. As a result, America, especially my State of Ohio, bleeds manufacturing jobs and runs unprecedented trade deficits.

The first year I ran for Congress, our trade deficit was \$38 billion. Today it is \$617 billion for calendar year 2004. Gregory Mankiw, then President Bush's chief economist, portrayed the exporting of jobs as inevitable and desirable saying, "When a good or service is produced more cheaply abroad, it makes more sense to import it than it does to provide it domestically."

What really makes sense is a trade policy that lifts workers up in rich and poor countries alike, while respecting human rights and democratic principles. Proof that CAFTA is a legacy of failing trade policies is evidence in this Congress's own inaction. For the last 5 years, Congress has typically voted within about 2 months, within 60 days of President Bush signing a trade agreement.

Nearly 300 days have elapsed since President Bush signed the Central America Free Trade Agreement, still this Congress has not acted because the majority of this Congress understands our trade policies have failed.

Proof that CAFTA is a failure can be seen in this photo, Mr. Speaker. In Guatemala today, thousands of workers united in a nationwide strike voicing opposition to a trade policy they know will fail them, one that American workers also know will fail us.

This is the result of these demonstrations, where police turn on this country's workers, workers who are simply opposing in a democratic, open demonstration opposing its government trade policies. Yet the U.S. continues to push for more of the same, more trade agreements that ship jobs overseas, more trade agreements that neglect essential environmental rules, more trade agreements that keep foreign workers in poverty.

Madness is repeating the same action over and over and expecting a different result. The United States with our unrivaled purchasing power and our enormous economic clout is in a unique position to help empower poor workers in developing countries while promoting prosperity here at home.

When the world's poorest people can buy American products rather than just make them, we know then that our trade policies have finally succeeded.

NAVY AND MARINE CORPS ARE A TEAM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. JONES of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I am back on the floor again. This will be the third year that the House Committee on Armed Services has supported a bill that I have put in to rename the Department of Navy to be Navy and Marine Corps.

Both the Marine Corps, the Navy, the Air Force and the Army have great histories, and I think the American people know and respect each and every one of them. But the Marine Corps does not have a Secretary of the Navy/Marine Corps.

The Marine Corps, in my opinion, deserves to have and it is about time that we recognize the four services equally and respectfully of each one of them.

Quite frankly, for two Congresses over the last 30 years, the Congresses have passed legislation that has said that we have four separate services, four separate services: Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force. And actually the Navy and Marine Corps are a team. And this is said so many times in the Committee on Armed Services. I have been on it for 10 years, and every time the commandant of the Marine Corps comes in or the CNO of the Navy or the admiral comes in or the Secretary of the Navy, they all say we are a fighting team. We are a team. We are this and we are that.

I agree with that, and I have great respect for both, but my question is why is the Marine Corps not recognized for its greatness? The Navy is great. The Army is great. The Air Force is great. Yet, we do not have a Department of Navy/Marine Corps. We do not have a Secretary of Navy/Marine Corps.

□ 1930

Mr. Speaker, tonight I brought on the floor an enlargement of the official letter of the Secretary of Navy to a Marine named Sergeant Michael Bitts. Sergeant Bitts was killed at the battle of Nasiriyah. He left a wife and three children, twins that he never saw. They were born after he was deployed.

It so happened that about a year ago the Department of Navy decided that Sergeant Bitts deserved and earned the Silver Star for valor in Iraq. What my colleagues see tonight, Mr. Speaker, is an enlargement of the citation itself and it says at the top, the official heading says Secretary of the Navy, Washington, D.C., ZIP code, and then to the left it has the Navy flag.

My question would be, Mr. Speaker, to the House and Senate, is, yes, this is